

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/436,756 | 11/09/1999 | MASARU ISHIHARA | 0010-1054-0 | 7106 |
| 22850 | 7590 03/24/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | MAIER, LEIGH C | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1623 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/436,756 | ISHIHARA ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Leigh C. Maier | 1623 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). s received on (with a Certification | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) \(\subseteq \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| James Kelly indicated that Applicant would pursue prosecution in a continuing application. JAMES 0. WILSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Leigh C. Maier | | | | | | |
| | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600 | Examiner Art Unit: 1623 | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | | | | | | |